1	PORTER SCOTT		
2	A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION Carl L. Fessenden, SBN 161494		
3	Matthew W. Gross, SBN 324007 350 University Ave., Suite 200		
4	Sacramento, California 95825 TEL: 916.929.1481		
5	FAX: 916.927.3706		
6	Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF INYO, INYO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, TAMMY McDEVITT, and PERLA PEREZ		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	DANIELLE ALLEN,	CASE NO. 1:20-cv-01040-JLT	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
13	v.	REGARDING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
14	COUNTY OF INYO, INYO COUNTY	(Doc. 16)	
15	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, JEFF R.		
16	HOLLOWELL, TAMMY McDEVITT, PERLA PEREZ, and DOES 1 through 20,		
17	inclusive,		
18	Defendants.		
19			
20	The parties stipulate and agree to using Magistrate Judge Thurston, after filing the waiver of		
21	disqualification (ECF 15), and request that the Court set a settlement conference for December 18, 2020.		
22	Dated: October 30, 2020	PORTER SCOTT	
23		A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION	
24		By/s/ Matthew W. Gross	
25		Carl L. Fessenden Matthew W. Gross	
26		Attorneys for Defendants	
27			
28			
	(02211620 DOCV)	1	
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORD	ER REGARDING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE	
I.	, and the second of the second		

Case 1:20-cv-01040-JLT Document 17 Filed 10/30/20 Page 2 of 4

1	Dated: October 30, 2020	LAW OFFICE OF VICTORIA L. CAMPBELL
2		
3		By/s/ Victoria L. Campbell
4		By <u>/s/ Victoria L. Campbell</u> Victoria L. Campbell
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	{02311639.DOCX}	2
	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] (ORDER REGARDING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Based upon the Stipulation of the parties and their waiver of disqualification (Doc. 15), the Court **ORDERS**:

- 1. The Court sets a settlement conference on December 18, 2020 at 1 p.m. Due to the ongoing closure of the courthouse, the settlement conference will proceed via Zoom. The Court will provide login information as the date approaches;
- At least 21 days before the settlement conference, the plaintiff SHALL submit to the defendants via fax or e-mail, a written itemization of damages and a meaningful¹ settlement demand, including a brief explanation of why such a settlement offer is appropriate. No later than 14 days before the settlement conference, the defendants SHALL respond via fax or e-mail, with an acceptance of the offer or with a meaningful counteroffer, which includes a brief explanation of why such a settlement is appropriate. The parties SHALL continue to exchange counteroffers until it is no longer productive. If settlement is not achieved, each side SHALL attach copies of their settlement offers to their Confidential Settlement Conference Statement, as described below. Copies of these documents shall not be filed on the court docket.
- 3. At least five court days before the settlement conference, the parties shall submit, directly to Judge Thurston's chambers by e-mail to JLTOrders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference Statement. Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

- A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.
- B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on

¹ "Meaningful" means the offer is reasonably calculated to settle the case on terms acceptable to the offering party. "Meaningful" does not include an offer which the offering party knows will not be acceptable to the other party. If, however, the offering party is only willing to offer a settlement which it knows the other party will not accept, this should trigger a recognition the case is not in a settlement posture and the parties should confer about continuing the settlement conference via stipulation.

Case 1:20-cv-01040-JLT Document 17 Filed 10/30/20 Page 4 of 4

the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute. C. A summary of the proceedings to date. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery, pretrial and trial. D. E. The relief sought. F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: October 30, 2020 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE {02311639.DOCX} STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE